## IV. Valuation of Resources Used in **Direct Utilization Processes**

We also solicit comments on the valuation standards for direct utilization at 30 CFR 206.355, particularly options for the "alternative fuel" method used to value geothermal resources that are not subject to a sales transaction. Proposed alternative methods should satisfy the valuation goals discussed above.

## V. Other Comments

MMS also seeks comments on any other aspects of the rules.

Dated: August 13, 1999.

## Shayla Freeman Simmons,

Acting Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 99-21506 Filed 8-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 009-0143b; FRL-6420-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans: California State Implementation Plan Revisions for Six **California County Air Pollution Control Districts** 

**AGENCY: Environmental Protection** 

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of particulate matter (PM) emissions from open burning, incinerator burning, and orchard heater sources. The intended effect of this action is to regulate emissions of PM in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this proposed approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this rule.

Any parties interested in commenting on this rule should do so at this time. DATES: Comments must be received in writing by September 20, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report for the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board. Stationary Source Divison, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 "M" Street, Suite 290, Bakersfield, CA 93301

Northern Sierra Air Quality Management District, 540 Searles Avenue, Nevada City, CA 95959 Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District, 525 South Foothill Drive,

Yreka, CA 96097 San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 East Gettysburg Street, Fresno, CA 93726 Tehama County Air Pollution Control District, 1760 Walnut Street, Red

Bluff, CA 96080

**Tuolumne County Air Pollution Control** District, 2 South Green Street, Sonora, CA 95370

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office, (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744-1135. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Applicability

This document concerns the following rules submitted by the California Air Resources Board:

- Kern County Air Pollution Control District Rule 416, Open Burning (submitted on October 18, 1996)
- Kern County Air Pollution Control District Rule 417, Agricultural Burning (submitted on October 18, 1996).
- Northern Sierra Air Quality Management District Rules 302 to 312, Open Burning (submitted on October 25, 1991).
- San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Rule 4302, Incinerator Burning (submitted on May 24, 1994).
- San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Rule 4303, Orchard Heaters (submitted on May 24, 1994).

- Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District Rule 4.3, Non-Agricultural Burning (submitted on March 26, 1990).
- Tehema County Air Pollution Control District Rule 3.12, Wildland Vegetation Management Burning, (submitted on May 13, 1991)
- Tuolumne County Air Pollution Control District Rules 302 to 310, Open Burning (submitted on March 26, 1990).

For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action that is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: July 30, 1999.

## David P. Howekamp,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 99-21165 Filed 8-18-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 126-163b; FRL-6420-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; South **Coast Air Quality Management District; Ventura County Air Pollution Control District; Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District** 

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). Two rules to be approved into the SIP control volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from storage tank cleaning and degassing operations and from components at crude oil and natural gas production and processing facilities. Two rules to be removed from the SIP control VOC emissions from pumps, compressors, and relief valves.

The intended effect of this action is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct